

REMARKS

The Examiner's Office Action has been thoroughly considered. By way of the hereinabove amendments and the following remarks, Applicants believe their application to be in condition for allowance.

In the Office Action dated May 13, 2005, the Examiner objected to claim 7 as including informalities. The Examiner further rejected claims 1, 4, 5-10 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 for lack of clarity. However, the Examiner also indicated that claims 1-10 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this office action, and that claims 1-10 call for, among others, a plurality of fixed power supply units with different fixed output powers.

In response, Applicant's cancel Claim 10 without prejudice.

Applicants have also amended Claims 1, 4, 5, and 7 to 9 to the Examiner's objections, including the outstanding 35 U.S.C. § 112 rejections. Applicants have further amended the pending claims to more clearly recite and define the present invention.

Applicants believe that a full and complete response has been made to the Examiner's Office Action. Thus, in view of the hereinabove remarks, Applicants respectfully request immediate reconsideration and allowance of their patent application and its claims. To that end, if the Examiner feels that a conference might expedite the prosecution of this case, the Examiner is cordially invited to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,



Ozer M. N. Teitelbaum
Attorney for the Applicants
Reg. No. 36,698
(973) 386-8803

Date: 8/11/05

Lucent Technologies Inc.
Docket Administrator - Rm 3J-219
101 Crawfords Corner Road
Holmdel, NJ 07733